

Total 130

Regional Coordination Performance Metrics

2018-2020

As reported by the Texas Transportation Institute



Why PTN collects performance metrics



- Federal requirement as of 2016 for planning grants to be performance-driven and outcome based
- Gives PTN perspective on planning activities in each region to see best practices and opportunities for capacity development
- Helps determine continuation funding levels for the timeframe between five year plan revisions

Statewide Metrics Used



- The Texas Transportation Institute collected data on:
 - Collaboration
 - Resolution of unmet transportation needs, gaps, and inefficiencies
 - Newly identified unmet transportation needs, gaps, and inefficiencies

Collaboration



- Collaboration is measured in three different ways:
 - Active, formal partnerships
 - Organizations and individuals that received information about regional transportation activities
 - Organizations and individuals that actively participated in regional transportation activities

Collaboration: Active, Formal Partnerships



- In 2020,
 - Nineteen of the 23 reporting regions had at least one active, formal partnership.
 - Eight regions had over 10
 - Highest number was 30
- Trend over time, 2018-2020:
 - Overall number of partnerships has decreased across the state
 - Nine regions had a significant (greater than 50%) decline in the number of partnerships from 2018 to 2020
 - Only one lead agency reported zero partners in 2018

Collaboration: receiving information about regional planning activities



- In 2020,
 - Twenty-one of the 23 reporting regions had at least three
 - Eight regions had 30 or more
 - Highest number was 131
- Trend over time, 2018-2020:
 - On average the number or organizations/individuals increased by 128%
 - Ten regions had a significant (greater than 30%) rise in the number receiving information from 2018 to 2020

Collaboration: Active participation



- In 2020,
 - Twenty-two of the 23 reporting regions had at least three org or individuals
 - Ten regions had 20 or more
 - Highest number was 75
- Trend over time, 2018-2020:
 - On average the active participants increased by 45%
 - Six regions had a significant (greater than 30%) rise in the number of active participants

Resolution of Unmet Transportation Needs, Gaps, and Inefficiencies



- Resolution is measured in two different ways:
 - Strategies that moved from the planning phase to the implementation phase
 - Goals that are fully achieved during the planning period

Resolution: Moving from Planning to Implementation



- Not expected to follow a linear trend because the number of strategies or objectives left to implement changes over the span of the plan
- In 2020,
 - Eighteen of the 23 reporting regions had at least one strategy, goal, or objective move to implementation
 - Five regions had 11 or more
 - Highest number was 37
- Trend over time, 2018-2020:
 - The agency total average that moved to implementation was 18
 - The median total was 13

Resolution: Fully Achieved Strategies, Goals, and Objectives



- Not expected to follow a linear trend because the number of goals, strategies, or objectives left to achieve changes over the span of the plan
- In 2020,
 - Thirteen of the 23 reporting regions completed at least one strategy, goal, or objective
- Trend over time, 2018-2020:
 - The number of agencies who achieved increased
 - The average total per agency was 6.5
 - The median total was 3

New Unmet Transportation Needs, Gaps, and Inefficiencies



- New identification is measured in two different ways:
 - Newly identified unmet transportation needs, gaps, and inefficiencies
 - Newly identified unmet transportation needs with specific corresponding steps for resolution

Newly identified unmet transportation needs, gaps, and inefficiencies



- In 2020,
 - 11 of the 23 reporting regions identified at least one unmet transportation need, gap, or inefficiency
- Trend over time, 2018-2020:
 - Over the span, seventeen agencies identified at least one
 - The average total per agency was 6
 - The median total was 2

Observations about three years of performance metrics



- Positive correlation between the amount of collaboration and the number of items moved to implementation, fully realized, or newly identified
- If an agency had no partners in a year it reported:
 - No fully achieved goals
 - No newly identified gaps
- The number of agencies with significant decreases to the number of partnerships is twice as high as those with significant increases
- Improved collaboration is key for the next five year plan

Moving ahead



- TTI will be conducting an assessment of the metrics process to improve tracking for the next regional plan cycle
 - effectiveness of the types of data collected and the way the collection is done
- TTI will hold 2 3 stakeholder workshops to gather feedback on the current metrics, talk about best practices from other states, and introduce recommendations
 - Workshops are currently planned for next spring

To see the Performance Metrics



Go to regionalserviceplanning.org

RCTP STATEWIDE METRICS

The Year-Three Report: RCTP Statewide Metrics 2019-20 is now available. This report contains the updated 2019-20 regional planning metrics for all 24 planning regions.

Year-Three Report [PDF] | Appendix A [PDF] | Appendix B [PDF]